

1355 Capital Blvd. • P.O. Box 30013 • Reno, NV 89520-3013
P 775.834.8080 • F 775.834.8003

Addendum No. 1

North Virginia Street – 18” North Valleys Integration Main

PWP Bid No. WA-2016-091

February 19, 2016

The following information, clarifications, changes and modifications are by reference incorporated into the bid documents for the above referenced project. Any work item or contract provision not changed or modified will remain in full force and effect. The bid date and time and construction schedule remain the same.

RESPONSE TO COMMENT AND QUESTIONS

COMMENT

Comment No. 1: We attended a mandatory pre-bid meeting today with the hope of asking some questions about the project. For some reason the pre-bid meeting no longer allows any questions or dialogue which defeats the entire purpose of attending pre-bid meetings for the Contractor. The information contained in the Pre-Bid agenda is the same information contained in the specifications. Perhaps TMWA will consider making these meetings non-mandatory or allowing questions and responses so they are productive.

Response to Comment No. 1: This is always a struggle for TMWA as well. Questions have not been taken during pre-bid meetings for the past few years. This is not something that has recently changed. TMWA views the meetings as an opportunity to remind prospective bidders of the requirements necessary to prepare a responsive bid, in hopes of avoiding impacts to project schedules, associated with bid rejections and/or potential protests.

RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS:

Question No. 1: Sheet WC note 21 last sentence states “ Abandonment requires either removal of pipe or grout in place” Detail 4/WD1 shows the 14” Stead main to be abandoned. Is the abandonment and filling with grout of the 14” Stead main part of this contract or to be performed by others. If it is in this contract, please provide a bid item for this work with the specific stations that you want the line to be grout filled and abandoned since the line does not tie in at one end and continues beyond this project’s limits.

Response to Question No. 1: The 14-in Stead Main will no longer be abandoned as a part of the Work. All references under General Note 21 of Sheet WC1, requiring abandonment of this facility, shall be deleted.

Question No. 2: There are about 12 trees in the alignment between stations 66 and 74 that appear to be in the trench alignment and therefore need to be removed. Do these trees have to be replaced in kind and if so, please provide a specification showing size and type of tree.

Response to Question No. 2: All landscaping demolished or disturbed by the project improvements shall be replaced. Replacement trees shall be of the same type as existing with a minimum caliper size equivalent to 60 percent of those removed/damaged by the Work. All irrigation facilities must also be replaced to a condition equivalent or better than that found prior to commencement of the Work. No separate bid item for this work will be provided, as it will be considered incidental to installation of the pipe.

Question No. 3: Item 4 on the Pre-bid notes anticipates Notice of Award on March 1. Assuming 5-10 days for contracts to be in place and submittals to be prepared and approved, delivery of pipe and valves etc. is not realistic by March 17th when the Notice to Proceed is given. Is TMWA willing to delay the Notice to Proceed by a few weeks so the Notice of Proceed can coincide with when work can actually begin and materials will be on-site? There are lead times on many products that will not allow work to start on March 17.

Response to Question No. 3: The construction schedule for the Work shall be modified as presented below.

Invitation to Bid – Page 1, the Completion Deadline shall be replaced with the following:

Substantial Completion: Sixty-four (64) calendar days following Notice to Proceed.
Final Completion: Seventy-eight (78) calendar days following Notice to Proceed.

“Sample” Agreement for Construction, Section 3, Subparagraph 3.2 Completion of Work

The first sentence shall be deleted and replaced with the following:

Contractor shall achieve **Substantial Completion by Sixty-four (64) calendar days from the date of the Notice to Proceed** and shall achieve **100 percent completion by Seventy-eight (78) calendar days from the date of the Notice to Proceed.**

Question No. 4: Sheet WP 1 has a note that the ARA (ARV) Removed per Shaw Engineering plans. Is this part of this contract or by others since we do not have these plans and there is no bid item for this.

Response to Question No. 4: This item is not a part of this project.

Question No. 5: The trench detail 1 on WD1 calls for type II base from the top of the bedding to the surface. Is this required in non-paved areas also?

Response to Question No. 5: Yes.

1355 Capital Blvd. • P.O. Box 30013 • Reno, NV 89520-3013
P 775.834.8080 • F 775.834.8003

Question No. 6: Section 7 (c) in the City of Reno Special Conditions for the permit requires two-directional traffic at all times. I don't see this as a possibility considering the location of the main is in the middle of the roadway. Please advise if we may use flaggers for the section in the roadway with one-way traffic control.

Response to Question No. 6: The City of Reno has provided the following clarification for their SCOAs: Per the Special Conditions, page #3, Item 7, travel lane closures are allowed, but all directions of travel must be maintained. It is expected that this would be accomplished through the use of flaggers. The City requires the Contractor to provide a proposed set of traffic control plans for review which need to show the location of lane closures and the placement of each flagger. It should be understood that the City does not allow unnecessary lane closures, e.g., a lane closure being used for parking, staging, material placement, etc.