

§501-c-9 Post-Retirement Medical Plan & Trust

A single employer plan sponsored by Truckee Meadows Water Authority

AGENDA

§501-c-9 Post-Retirement Medical Plan & Trust Tuesday, February 21 at 1:00 p.m. Truckee Meadows Water Authority Independence Room 1355 Capital Boulevard, Reno, NV 89502

- 1. Roll call*
- 2. Public comment limited to no more than three minutes per speaker*
- 3. Approval of the agenda (For Possible Action)
- 4. Approval of the November 22, 2016 minutes (For Possible Action)
- Update on interpretation and clarification of Trust credit questions Gus Rossi (For Possible Action)

Are dental and vision premiums reimbursable if the retiree is not on one of the health plan s offered by TMWA?

Can the Trust credits be used to request reimbursements for other health related expenses such as co-pays?

Can Trust credits be used towards spouse premiums if the retiree is not on one of the health plans offered by TMWA?

- 6. Review of the FY2017 actuarial report that details TMWA annual required contributions—Michele Sullivan/Bill Bush (For Possible Action)
- 7. Presentation of the Budget for Calendar Year 2017—Michele Sullivan (For Possible Action)
- 8. Review of Retirement Benefits Investment Fund (RBIF) performance and status—Michele Sullivan (For Possible Action)
- 9. Trustee comments and requests for future agenda items*
- 10. Public comment limited to no more than three minutes per speaker*
- 11. Adjournment (For Possible Action)

NOTES:

- 1. The announcement of this meeting has been posted at the following locations: Truckee Meadows Water Authority (1355 Capital Blvd., Reno), Reno City Hall (1 E. First St., Reno), Sparks City Hall (431 Prater Way, Sparks), Sparks Justice Court (1675 E. Prater Way, Sparks), Washoe County Courthouse (75 Court St., Reno), Washoe County Central Library (301 South Center St., Reno), Washoe County Administration (1001 East Ninth St., Reno), and at http://www.tmwa.com.
- 2. In accordance with NRS 241.020, this agenda closes three working days prior to the meeting. We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for persons who are disabled and wish to attend meetings. If you require special arrangements for the meeting, please call 834-8002 before the meeting date.
- 3. The Board may elect to combine agenda items, consider agenda items out of order, remove agenda items, or delay discussion on agenda items. Arrive at the meeting at the posted time to hear item(s) of interest.
- 4. Asterisks (*) denote non-action items.
- 5. Public comment is limited to three minutes and is allowed during the public comment periods. The public may sign-up to speak during the public comment period or on a specific agenda item by completing a "Request to Speak" card and submitting it to the clerk. In addition to the public comment periods, the Chairman has the discretion to allow public comment on any agenda item, including any item on which action is to be taken.

Post-Retirement Medical Plan & Trust

A single employer plan sponsored by Truckee Meadows Water Authority



DRAFT November 22, 2016 MINUTES

The meeting of the TMWA Post-Retirement Medical Plan and Trust (Trust) Trustees was held on Tuesday, November 22, 2016 in the Truckee Meadows Water Authority Independence Room, 1355 Capital Blvd., Reno, Nevada.

Michele Sullivan, Chairman, called the meeting to order at *1:27 p.m.

1. ROLL CALL AND DETERMINATION OF PRESENCE OF A QUORUM.

A quorum was present.

Voting Members Present:

Michele Sullivan Michael Nevarez Juan Esparza

Staff Members Present

Jessica Atkinson Mary Bennett *Gus Rossi Rosalinda Rodriguez **Voting Members Absent**

Steve Enos

Staff Members Absent:

Pat Waite

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Ron Penrose- Retiree came to pose the following questions:

Are Dental and Vision premiums reimbursable under the Trust Document?

Can Trust credits be used for reimbursements for other health related expenses such as co-pays?

Can a portion of his Trust credit be applied towards covering his spouse's medical?

Mr. Penrose had posed these questions before and this has been listed and addressed

as Agenda Item 7.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Upon motion made and seconded, and carried by unanimous consent of the Trustees present, the Trustees approved the agenda.

4. APPROVAL OF THE MAY 17, 2016 MINUTES

Upon motion made and seconded, and carried by unanimous consent of the Trustees present, the Trustees approved the May 17, 2016 minutes.

5. REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF POST-RETIREMENT MEDICAL TRUST BENEFIT CALCULATIONS FOR TMWA RETIREE(S)

Ms. Atkinson presented the benefit calculation for Mary Bennett. Ms. Bennett will retire on January 8, 2017, and is requesting trust benefits beginning February 1, 2017. Ms. Atkinson met with the retiree and confirmed the information on the benefit calculation form, and Ms. Bennett has signed all paperwork. Ms. Bennett has elected to continue on TMWA's PPO plan as Retiree Only coverage (Non-Medicare) and will have any remaining premium balance paid from her RHS or PERS account. She has also elected life insurance coverage for his spouse.

Upon motion made and seconded, and carried by unanimous consent of the Trustees present, the Trustees approved providing the benefits as calculated to Mary Bennett.

6. ELECTION OF CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIR (PAST APPOINTMENT EXPIRES DECEMBER 31, 2016)

Ms. Atkinson recommended that the Board of Trustees discuss and decide which Trustees would serve as Chairperson and Vice Chairperson for a two year term beginning January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2018.

Upon motion made and seconded, and carried by unanimous consent of the Trustees present, the Trustees approved the appointment of Michele Sullivan as Chairperson.

Upon motion made and seconded, and carried by unanimous consent of the Trustees present, the Trustees approved the appointment of Steve Enos for Vice-Person.

7. REQUEST OF INTERPRETATION AND CLARIFICATION OF TRUST CREDIT

In response to the public comment from Mr. Penrose's questions, the following answers were supplied:

Are dental and vision premiums reimbursable if the retiree is not on one of the health plans offered by TMWA?

Mr. Gus Rossi advised that his interpretation is that the Trust Document is not clear on whether Dental and Vision Premiums are reimbursable expenses. This is due to the fact that his interpretation of trust language was that in order to be eligible for trust benefits, individuals needed to be enrolled in plans offered by TMWA. Ultimately Gus advised that dental and vision premiums are eligible expenses under a VEBA document; however, our plan is not explicit on eligibility for reimbursement and is therefore not clear whether or not dental and vision premiums can be classified the same as a medical premium. He recommended that going forward any retiree submitting a request for reimbursement be required to sign an acknowledgement stating the requestor is not receiving reimbursement elsewhere for the same expense. Additionally, until the Trust has a definitive answer on this matter Ron Penrose and others like him who have been receiving reimbursements from the trust including reimbursements for dental and or vision only will be allowed to continue doing so because the language in the plan document does not explicitly preclude this. It was ultimately agreed that the trust could continue to reimburse for these expenses without jeopardizing the plan and Gus would do some research to determine if any plan or policy modifications were necessary to address this matter.

Can the Trust credits be used to request reimbursements for other health related expenses such as co-pays?

Mr. Rossi believed that VEBA plans could allow for reimbursement for co-pays and other direct medical expenses as long as the retiree is not also receiving reimbursement from another source. It was requested that Gus verify if co-pays and other direct medical expenses really can be reimbursed under a VEBA and if our plan specifically allows for this without making any plan changes. In addition to ensuring that appropriate plan provisions were in place, it was agreed that the Trustees would need to do an analysis to determine the administrative and financial burden to take on the processing and reimbursement of co-pays and other direct medical expenses prior to making a decision to adopt and or modify and adopt changes to the plan.

Can Trust credits be used towards spouse premiums if the retiree is not on one of the health plans offered by TMWA?

Mr. Rossi advised that although TMWA's plan document uses the word "and" in the sentence, "Monetary benefits earned under this plan shall be used to either reimburse or pay directly all or a portion of the premiums or costs assessed for health coverage for the Participant and his or her spouse..." he believes the intent was to cover spouse premiums and therefore opined that trust credits could be used toward spouse premiums if the retiree is not on one of the plans being offered by TMWA so long as the reimbursement issue previously discussed is satisfactorily addressed to document that trust allowed for reimbursements outside of the TMWA plans.

It was requested that Mr. Rossi research and verify all of the questions brought forward and bring his official recommendations back to the Trustees during the next scheduled Trust meeting.

8. REQUIRED COMMUNICATION FROM EXTERNAL TRUST AUDITORS EIDEBALLY

This was for informational purposes no motion for approval needed.

9. PRESENTATION OF TRUCKEE MEADOWS WATER AUTHORITY POST-RETIREMENT MEDICAL PLAN AND TRUST'S AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015

Ms. Sullivan advised that the standard audit was conducted and there were no significant findings, it was a clean audit.

Upon motion made and seconded, and carried by unanimous consent of the Trustees present, the Trustees approved the Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2015.

10. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE TRUSTEE DIRECTION REGARDING MEETING TIMES AND DATES FOR 2017

Upon motion made and seconded, and carried by unanimous consent of the Trustees present, the Trustees approved the meeting times and dates for 2017 as recommended in the staff report for this agenda item.

11. TRUSTEE COMMENTS AND REQUEST FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

There were no comments.

12. PUBLIC COMMENT

-1			1.11			
There	was	no	nuhli	C	com	ment
111010	** 43		PUNI		COIL	1110110

13. ADJOURNMENT
With no further business to discuss, Chairman Sullivan adjourned the meeting at 1:46 p.m.
Minutes were approved by the Trustees in session on
Respectfully Submitted,
Rosalinda Rodriguez, Recording Secretary

*Meeting started late.*Gus Rossi arrived at 1:25 pm was present for Agenda Items 1-13.

Post Retirement Medical Plan & Trust

a single employer plan sponsored by Truckee Meadows Water Authority



TO:

Board of Trustees of the TMWA Post Retirement Medical Trust

FROM:

Jessica Atkinson, HR Administrator

DATE:

February 21, 2017

SUBJECT:

Update on Interpretation and Clarification of Trust Credit Questions

Recommendation/Suggested Motion

The Board of Trustees accept Trust Attorney, Gus Rossi's interpretation of the trust document as it relates to reimbursement of trust credits and accept Mr. Rossi's recommendations regarding whether or not trust amendments are necessary to sufficiently address the administration and approval of trust credit reimbursements.

Discussion

The following questions were posed during the November 22, 2017 meeting:

- Are dental and vision premiums reimbursable if the retiree is not on one of the health plan s offered by TMWA?
- Can the Trust credits be used to request reimbursements for other health related expenses such as co-pays?
- Can Trust credits be used towards spouse premiums if the retiree is not on one of the health plans offered by TMWA?

Trustees made a request that these questions be further reviewed by Trust Attorney, Gus Rossi and his interpretation and recommendations regarding any necessary trust amendments be brought to the February 21, 2107 Trust meeting.

Post Retirement Medical Plan & Trust

a single employer plan sponsored by Truckee Meadows Water Authority



TO:

Board of Trustees of the TMWA Post Retirement Medical Trust

FROM:

Michele Sullivan, TMWA CFO and Trust Chairperson

DATE:

February 21, 2017

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on FY2017 Actuarial Report that details

TMWA Annual Required Contribution Amount

Recommendation/Suggested Motion

The Board of Trustees accepts the Valuation Report as of July 1, 2016.

Discussion

Attached are/is the following statement(s):

• Postretirement benefit Valuation Report under GASB 45 as of July 1, 2016 Expense Development for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2017

January 18, 2017

Postretirement Benefit Valuation Report Under GASB 45 as of July 1, 2016 Expense Development for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2017 Truckee Meadows Water Authority

Prepared by William Bush, FSA

Contents

Report Highlights	2
Certification	6
/aluation Results	7
Participant Data	£
octuarial Basis	11
Blossany	

Report Highlights

Calculation Details

This report has been prepared for the Truckee Meadows Water Authority ("TMWA") to:

- Present results of the valuation of TMWA's retiree medical (health) and life insurance plans as of July 1, 2016. This report excludes obligations attributable to employees who transferred employment from Washoe County on December 31, 2014 (those obligations are reported under a separate actuarial report),
- Provide annual required contribution amounts under GASB Statement No. 45 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017
- Provide reporting information for financial statements, government agencies and other interested parties pursuant to GASB requirements

Summary of GASB Statement No. 45

In June, 2004 the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued Statement No. 45: *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*, which establishes new accounting standards for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB).

The requirements apply to any state or local government employer that provides OPEB. The primary type of OPEB covered by the statement will be postretirement health benefits. OPEB may also include life insurance, legal benefits, and other benefits.

The new standard requires accrual accounting. In general, GASB followed the standards already in place for governmental pension plans in Statement No. 27.

The standard does not require funding of OPEB expense, but any differences between the annual expense and the amount funded in a year are recorded in the employer's financial statement as an increase (or decrease) in the net OPEB obligation. The funded status of the actuarial accrued liability is shown as part of Required Supplementary Information.

For TMWA, the effective date for GASB 45 was the fiscal year ending June 30, 2008.

Reasons for Updated Valuation as of July 1, 2016

The normal valuation cycle is once every two years under GASB 45. The valuation helps to guide Trustees in considering funding and investment policies by comparing the values of actuarial obligations to Trust assets.

Retiree Premium Rates and Implicit Subsidy

TMWA pays a portion of the rates set by the City of Reno Health Plan Trust. The GASB 45 implementation guide related to implicit subsidy indicates the following:

Report Highlights (continued)

Calculation Details (continued)

- HHP- HMO: Is fully insured and community rated, with blended active and pre-65 retiree rates. Actuary believes that HHP would offer the same premium rate to TMWA, even if only non-Medicare eligible retirees were covered because TMWA average age of actives is almost 50 and has few pre-65 retirees in HHP. HHP covers a much larger enrollment. This conclusion is based on Q/A 66 and 67 of Implementation Guide to GASB 45.
- Reno Health Plan PPO: Is self-funded, community rated for all three participating employers. Active and pre-65 rates are blended. QA 68 of the Implementation Guide indicates that the decision to value implicit subsidy is a judgment call. The example in QA 68 implies that an employer, such as TMWA, who has 8% or more of the total enrollment in agent multiple-employer plan would need to value the implicit subsidy. An analysis of active vs. pre-65 retiree claims over a recent 3-year period indicates that pre-65 PPO claims are about 30% higher than the blended City of Reno PPO rates.
- Enrollment: There are now 31 retirees; 16 enrolled in the PPO, 7 in the HMO and 8 (including 1 deferred enrollee) enrolled in other plans using their account to pay premiums. Fourteen of 18 (or 78%) of Pre-1998 IBEW retirees, where spouse coverage is material to the valuation, cover a spouse.

There is no implicit subsidy for those who enroll in the HMO or another plan, only for those in the PPO under age 65. There is no mechanism, at this point, for the Trust to pay the implicit subsidy to the Reno Health Plan PPO. Accordingly, it is likely that the reserves held for the implicit subsidy will never be debited. There is a similar issue related to retiree life insurance, where a blended rate is charged for both actives and retirees. This valuation conforms to the GASB 45 approach of valuing the life insurance obligation based on age specific term insurance rates. However, if the obligation is relieved by paying the materially lower "blended rate" for life insurance, then the obligation is being measured at a much higher cost than will be relieved by payment of blended rate premiums.

Funding Methods

Calculations have been performed under the Projected Unit Credit (PUC) method. The PUC actuarial method determines a Normal (or current service) cost, which represents the cost of benefits earned in the current year, and an actuarial accrued liability, which represents the cost of benefits earned in prior years. It is the method mandated by FASB for determining the cost of pension and postretirement benefits by private sector companies. It is the method used by TMWA prior to GASB 45 and is one of six permissible cost allocation methods under GASB 45.

The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is amortized over a period of 30 years as a level dollar amount starting with the 2008 year. Because of the change in valuation date, we used a 22-year remaining period as of July 1, 2016, and 24 years as of July 1, 2014. This methodology provides a stable and moderate annual contribution to achieve full funding in a systematic manner.

Report Highlights (continued)

Calculation Details (continued)

Discount Rate and Other Assumptions

The investment return assumption (or discount rate) is selected as the estimated long-term investment return on the investments that are expected to be used to finance the payment of benefits. For a funded plan, the considerations in selecting this rate are like selecting the funding interest rate for a pension plan. For an unfunded plan, the discount rate should be determined with reference to the expected return on TMWA's general assets, which are assumed to be invested as a mixture of cash and very short-term fixed interest instruments, such as money market funds. If the plan is partially funded, a blended discount rate is used. Because the Plan is virtually fully funded and will be fully funded over the long term by contributing ARC, we use an expected rate based on the Trust portfolio, which has a high equity allocation. We reduced the discount rate to 6% in the 2014 valuation from the 6.5% discount rate used in the 2012 valuation to reflect the low interest rate environment. This 6% assumption has been maintained for the 2016 valuation.

There are no changes to demographic assumptions from those used in the 2014 valuation.

The assumed growth in health care costs is another key assumption. We continued to assume 5% growth in health plan costs. There was a 20% increase in every health plan rate effective January 1, 2016, which is believed to have been a one time "catch up" aberration given that rates had been kept essentially flat over several years prior to 2016. There was a 5% increase in medical premiums on January 1, 2017, with no change to dental or vision rates, which increases have been reflected in this valuation.

The unexpected 20% rate increase primarily affected the pre-1998 BU obligation, as the other Plan obligations are defined in dollars, not health plan benefits (except for the relatively small pre-65 PPO implicit subsidy). All assumptions and plan provisions are summarized at the end of the report.

Asset Values

As of July 1, 2016, the RBIF market value was \$8,948,929 compared to \$8,443,923 in the prior valuation as of July 1, 2014. The funded status has declined to 86% as of July 1, 2016 partly because actual investment return was below the assumed 6%, but mostly because the 20% increase in health plan rates was way higher than the 5% per annum assumed increase. For convenience, all assets were allocated to medical to determine expense. This allocation has no effect on the overall cost of the combined ARC.

Report Highlights (continued)

Calculation Details (continued)

Expense/Contribution Calculation

The basic annual expense recognized under GASB 45 is called the "annual required contribution" or ARC, even though there is no requirement to fund this amount. The ARC depends on the actuarial cost method selected and typically consists of the normal cost plus amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL, or the excess of the past service liability over the actuarial value of assets).

The ARC associated with the post-retirement medical benefits program, calculated in accordance with GASB 45 for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2015, are summarized below. The current annual expected pay-as-you-go cost for benefits provided by TMWA is approximately \$250,000 for retiree medical and \$26,300 for retiree life insurance, using age adjusted premium rates, or a total of \$276,300. For comparison, the blended pay-as-you-go life insurance premium is about 25% of the age adjusted retiree life premium. There is negligible difference between implicit and explicit (or blended rate) health care pay-as-you-go health care premiums.

The difference between the amounts required to be recognized and the amounts funded (including benefits paid from general assets) are accumulated as the "net OPEB obligation." The annual OPEB cost related to the ARC must be reported in the employer's financial statements as an expense item.

Annual Required Contribution	<u>Fiscal 2014-15</u>	<u>Fiscal 2016-17</u>
Medical	173,508	361,528
Life Insurance	<u>70,921</u>	<u>83,535</u>
Total ARC	\$ 244,429	\$ 445,063

Certification

We have prepared this valuation of the TMWA's postretirement medical program as of July 1, 2016, to enable the Truckee Meadows Water Authority to comply with the accounting requirements under Statement of Governmental Accounting Standards No. 45 and to compute ARC for the year ending June 30, 2017.

The valuation is based on participant and cost data provided by the TMWA and summarized in this report without further audit. This data was not verified by the actuary. We have reviewed the data for internal consistency, reasonableness and reconciliation to the prior census. Based on that review, we have no reason to doubt its substantial accuracy. The valuation is also based on the substantive plan as described by TMWA as set forth in the Plan document, and as summarized in this report. TMWA is solely responsible for the validity and completeness of this information.

All costs, liabilities and other factors under the plan were determined in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and procedures. In our opinion, the actuarial assumptions selected by the TMWA based upon our recommendations are a reasonable estimate of the future experience of the plan. To the extent that actual experience differs from the assumptions, or to the extent that plan provisions as actually administered are different than those summarized herein, the results presented in this report will differ. The projections included in this report are based on the data, methods and assumptions described in this report. Actual experience could differ from these assumptions and may produce results that differ materially from the projections shown in this report.

The calculations reported herein are based on our understanding of the provisions of GASB No. 45. Actuarial computations under Statements of Governmental Accounting Standards are for purposes of fulfilling governmental employer accounting requirements. Computations for other purposes may differ from the results shown in this report. I am available to answer questions, or provide explanations or further detail, as needed. The undersigned credentialed actuary meets the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained in this report.

William D. Bush, FSA

January 18, 2017

Date

William D. Bush, FSA 74-4910 Hao Kuni Place, #5 Kailua Kona HI 96740 925 785-2950

E-mail: billdbush@sbcglobal.net

Valuation Results

Calculation of Annual Required Contribution (ARC) and Annual Expense under the Project Unit Credit (PUC) Method

			Actuarial Valuation Dates Medical Discounted @ 6% July 1, 2014 July 1,2016		Actuarial Valu Life Insurance D July 1, 2014			
Ber 1.		Obligations and Normal Cost tuarial Accrued Liability						
1.0	a.	Retired employees – IBEW	\$ 1,752,785	\$	\$3,134,004	\$ 81,634	\$	80,000
	b.	Retired employees - MPAT	203,698		215,837	69,075		113,044
	c.	Active employees - IBEW Pre 1998	4,462,397		4,853,210	**		**
	d.	Active employees - IBEW Post 1997	245,484		391,315	199,638		208,412
	e.	Active employees - MPAT	<u>982,179</u>		<u>1,180,298</u>	<u>199,120</u>		231,448
	f.	Total	\$ 7,646,542	\$	9,774,665	\$ 549,467	\$	632,904
2.	Act	tuarial value of assets	8,443,923	*	8,948,929			
3.	Un	funded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$ (797,381)	\$	825,736	\$ 549,467	\$	632,904
4.	No	rmal cost			same a similario 🛊 produce allocation a			and described in the second second
	a.	Active employees - IBEW Pre 1998	\$ 117,541	\$	141,060	\$ **	\$	**
	b.	Active employees - IBEW Post 1997	25,061		36,858	11,063		11,635
	c.	Active employees - MPAT	66,872		84,303	<u>14,541</u>		<u>17,586</u>
	d.	Total	\$ 209,474	\$	262,221	\$ 25,604	\$	29,221

^{**}Rows 1c &4b for Retiree life includes all IBEW. Also, some life obligations for BU retirees are included in the MPAT retirees

ARC for Fiscal Year Ending June 30

	2	2015 - Medical	2017 -Medical	2015-Life Insurance	2017-Life Insurance
Level Dollar Amortization of Principal and Interest		% Discount tate	6%Discount Rate	6% Discount Rate	6% Discount Rate
 Amortization factor based on 30 years* 		.07517	.07835	.07517	.07835
2. Amortization of UAAL over 30 years	\$	(59,398)	\$ 64,692	\$ 41,303	\$ 49,585
3. Normal cost		209,474	262,221	25,604	29,221
4. Provision for Annual Trust Expenses		15,000	15,000		
5. Interest on 2. and 3.		8,972	<u> 19,615</u>	<u>4,014</u>	<u>4,729</u>
6. Annual Required Contribution (ARC) (2. + 3. + 4. +5.)	\$	173,508	\$ 361,528	\$ 70,921	\$ 83,535
* Amortization over remaining years since 2008					
Benefit Cash Flow					
1. Projected first year cash flow - TMWA	\$	158,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 26,300

Valuation Results (continued)

Reconciliation of Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Between Valuation Dates

		Medical	Life Insurance	Total
1.	Total Actuarial Accrued Liability as of July 1, 2014	7,646,542	549,467	8,196,010
2.	Plus Normal Cost as of July 1, 2014	215,351	25,604	240,455
3.	Less TMWA premiums	(190,000)	(22,000)	(212,000)
4.	Plus 6% interest on AAL +NC5 Premiums	<u>466,014</u>	33,844	499,858
5.	Expected Actuarial Accrued Liability as of July 1, 2015	8,137,907	586,916	8,724,823
6.	Plus Normal Cost as of July 1, 2015	215,351	25,604	240,455
7.	Less TMWA premiums	(220,900)	(26,300)	(247,200)
8.	Plus 6% interest on AAL +NC5 Premiums	494,568	35,962	530,530
9.	Expected Actuarial Accrued Liability as of July 1, 2016	8,626,926	622,182	9,249,108
10.	Loss due to unfavorable experience, mostly due to 20% increase in health plan premium rates	1,147,739	10,722	1,158,461
11.	Loss due to changing assumptions	. <u>O</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
12.	Actuarial Accrued Liability as of July 1, 2016	9,774,665	632,904	10,407,569

Participant Data

The remainder of the report includes information supporting the results presented in the previous section.

- Participant data presents and describes the participant data used in the valuation.
- Actuarial basis describes the plan provisions, as well as the methods and assumptions used to value the plan. The valuation is based on the premise that the plan is ongoing.

Distribution of Active Participants - July 1, 2016

	Years	Of	Service		
Group/Age	0–10	10–19	19-29	30+	Total
MPAT Under 30	5				5
MPAT 30-39	15	2			17
MPAT 40-49	11	9	2		22
MPAT 50-59	13	12	4	4	33
MPAT Over 60	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	2	<u>8</u>
Total MPAT	45	27	7	6	85
IBEW Under 30	7				7
IBEW 30-39	18	4			22
IBEW 40-49	10	15	1		26
IBEW 50-59	4	8	6	9	27
IBEW Over 60	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	1	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>
Total IBEW	<u>39</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>88</u>
Total	84	56	15	18	173

Averages for Active Participants - July 1, 2016

		Ave	erage
	Number	Age	Service
MPAT	85	47.5	10.9
IBEW pre-1998 hires	23	55.3	28.9
IBEW post 1997 hires	65	41.8	8.3
IBEW all hire dates	88	45.4	13.7

Participant Data (continued)

Retirees – July 1, 2016

	Number	Average Age
IBEW		
Single Retirees	4	
Retirees with spouse/Dependent	<u>14</u>	
Total IBEW Retirees	18	67
MPAT Retirees with Healthcare	<u>13</u>	66
Total retirees	31	67

Actuarial Basis

Valuation Procedures

Actuarial cost method: Liabilities shown in this report are computed using the projected unit credit method. The Normal Cost represents the present value of accruals allocated to the current fiscal year, while the Actuarial Accrued Liability represents the value of accruals attributable to prior years of service (both TMWA and SPR service).

Financial and census data: We have used financial data and participant data as supplied by the plan sponsor. This information would not customarily be verified by a plan's actuary. We have reviewed the information for internal consistency and for consistency with prior census information. We have no reason to doubt its accuracy.

Participants included: The plan sponsor provides us with data on all employees as of the valuation date. Many TMWA employees were former SPR employees, for whom assets were transferred from an SPR VEBA to a trust sponsored by TMWA dedicated to funding retiree medical. The initial hire date with SPR is the hire date for these employees for purposes of computing service toward retiree medical benefits. Other former SPR employees, retired from SPR, did not elect to have assets and obligations transferred to TMWA. The presumption is that these few remaining employees will not become eligible for TMWA retiree medical based solely on TMWA service or will not elect TMWA coverage because the incremental TMWA COB provision would be perceived to have less value than the required retiree contributions.

Funding policy: The postretirement medical plan's benefits will be funded by accounts in a Nevada PERS sponsored RBIF dedicated to providing benefits, thus meeting the definition of Plan Assets under GASB 45. The Truckee Meadows Water Authority is expected to fund the ARC in future years, but there is no absolute commitment to do so.

Discount rate: GASB requires that the discount rate be the long-term expected-yield rate on current and expected future plan/trust assets. Plans pre-funded on a full actuarial basis are generally expected to have a higher long term yield due to presumed exposure to equity investments. Pay as you go plans are to be discounted based on the yields at which the entity's surplus cash is invested, generally at a very low rate. Partially funded plans are discounted at a blended rate. GASB has created a strong accounting incentive to fully prefund to enable use of a larger discount rate and TMWA has indicated their intent to prefund the ARC. We used 6%.

Actuarial Value of Assets: GASB requires the use of a market-related asset value. This valuation measures assets at fair market value.

Development of Healthcare Assumptions

The following assumptions were used in valuing the liabilities and benefits under the plan.

Discount rate	6.0% per annum appropriate for a f	ully fund	ded plan			
Health care cost trend rates	Years		e-65 Annual es of Increase	Post 65 Annual Rates of Increase		
	All Years starting 1-1-2018	5.0%		5.0%		
Monthly per capita			1-1-2	017 Rates		
claims cost (premium)	Family Tier		The Reno PPO	HHP- HMO		
Pre-Medicare	Retiree Only	\$	800.12	\$ 831.56		
	Retiree and Spouse		1,394.56	1,448.45		
	Retiree and Child (ren)		1,322.87	1,374.25		
	Retiree and Family		1,776.99	1,816.09		
Post-Medicare	Retiree Only		629.37	608.99		
	Retiree & Spouse (1 Medicare)		1,282.04	1,411.40		
	Retiree & Spouse (2 Medicare)		1,106.29	1,058.99		
Retiree elections	Retirees: Current Tier and Plan					
	Actives: Assume 75% elect coverage	ge in Pf	PO, 25% in HHF	0		
	- prior to 65, assume 75% elect retiree and spouse coverage, 25% elect retire					
	- after 65, assume 60% elect retiree and spouse coverage, 40% elect retiree of					
Retiree	IBEW pre-1998 hires cost sharing is based on service.					
contributions	The explicit TMWA subsidy for Post-1997 IBEW and for MPAT are defined dollar amounts based on service. Retirees pay the balance of cost. IBEW post 1997 account is assumed to be used to pay benefits over the first 4 years of retirement only. MPAT is a lifetime cost sharing.					
Retiree contribution increases	Retiree contributions are assumed to rates for IBEW pre-1998 hires. All o increases, except for the pre-65 PPC	ther ret	tirees bear the e			
Aging/Implicit Subsidy	None assumed for HHP as it is a fully insured HMO. Reno PPO rates post 65 retirees separately from pre-65. Pre-65 PPO premiums are blended with actives; costs are assumed to be 30% higher than PPO premiums for pre-65 retirees.					
Post Retirement Mortality	RP-2014 Mortality Table recently released by SOA, healthy annuitants blended 50/50 unisex. Life expectancy at age 65 is 21.0 years.					
	Age	٨	<i>l</i> lale	Female		
Sample probability of	50		0.34%	0.34%		
Death in next year	60	1	0.65%	0.65%		
	70		1.48%	1.48%		
	80	;	3.98%	3.98%		
	90		2.15%	12.15%		
Turnover Prior to Age 55	Probability of leaving TMWA is 5% p assumed retirement age.	er year	of employment	. None thereafter until		

Development of Healthcare Assumptions (continued)

Assumed	Algorithm assumes employee will retire after 20 years of service:					
Retirement Age	- but no earlier than age 60					
	- but no later than age 66, unless employee has less than 10 years of service (in this case assumed to retire when he reaches 10 years)					
	benefit (10 years) and will ge	This assumption is designed to assume everyone will wait to retire with some benefit (10 years) and will generally wait to have at least 20 years to maximize the nealth plan contribution. Average assumed retirement ages in current valuation are				
	IBEW Pre 1998	IBEW Post 1997	MPAT			
	60.5	62.5	63.1			
Administrative expenses		I in medical premium rates, except fo paid from Trust. Carrier retention is penefits.				
Medicare	100% of retirees are assume	assumed to elect Medicare on attaining age 65.				
Salary growth rate	3.0% per annum used to pro	ject life insurance coverage at retiren	nent			

Per Capita Healthcare Costs/Premiums

TWMA retirees are eligible to elect the Reno PPO or the HHP insured HMO. The HHP rates retirees separately from actives and charge fully insured premiums accordingly. The PPO rates post 65 retirees separately. A claim analysis study performed several years ago, based on 3 years of data, indicates that pre-65 retirees incur claims that are about 30% higher than the blended premiums charged to TMWA by the City of Reno PPO.

Healthcare Cost Trend Rates

The assumed trend is based on macroeconomic principles, reflecting nominal gross domestic product growth rates, and the excess of national health expenditures over other goods and services, and an adjustment for an assumed impact of population growth. Trend only impacts the: (i) IBEW pre-1998 hires because other employees only receive a benefit expressed in dollars and (ii) other groups only to the extent of the pre-65 implicit subsidy in the PPO. This latter amount is a relatively small obligation.

Summary of Plan Provisions – Medical (Health)

Eligibility	Employees who retire after age 55 with at least 10 years of service
Service	TWMA service. Predecessor SPR service recognized if employee elected to
·	have assets transfer from SPR VEBA to TMWA dedicated trust
IBEW	Prior to Age 65
Pre-	Eligible retirees can elect to participate in Health Plans offered by TMWA to
1998	actives. TMWA will pay a percentage of the cost (including dependant
Hires	coverage) if retiree pays balance. Retiree share is 20% plus 4% for each year of
	service less than 20. For example, retiree with:
	• 20+ years would pay 20% – TMWA pays 80% (some set at 85%)
	15 years would pay 40% of the premium – TMWA pays 60% On an Affair 65.
	On or After 65
	Eligible retirees can elect to participate in Medicare coordinated Health Plans offered by TMWA. TMWA will pay a percentage of the cost (including
	dependent coverage) if retiree pays the balance. Retiree share is 15% (0% if
	Medicare Risk contract elected) plus 4% for each year of service less than 20.
	For example, retiree with:
	20 or more years would pay 15% of the premium – TMWA pays 85%
	 15 years would pay 35% of the premium – TMWA pays 65%
	 20 + year retiree electing Medicare Risk would pay \$0 (PPO was being
	treated as Medicare Risk, but this will change effective July 1, 2010)
	Age 60+ with 10+ years on July 1, 1998
	Retiree share for this grandfathered group is 0% plus 4% for each year of
	service (YOS) less than 20.
IBEW	Account that can be used to pay premiums or for eligible health expenses. The
Post	account is \$1,250 x years of service. It is debited by eligible premium costs and
1997 Hires	does not grow with interest. Once exhausted, the retiree may convert to COBRA but only for the 18-month COBRA continuation period.
MPAT	Prior to Age 65
IVIEAT	Annual employer subsidy of \$235 x YOS up to 30, prorated for each month of
	retirement while under 65
	On or After 65
	Annual employer subsidy of \$105 x YOS up to 35, prorated for each month of
	retirement while 65 and above
	Early Retirement Reduction before age 62
	Subsidy reduced if employee retires before 62, by 5% for each full year
	retirement precedes age 62. An employee who retires at age 60 would receive
	90% of the \$235 per YOS prior to 65 and 90% of \$105 per YOS starting at 65.
	Application of Monthly Credits
	Employer subsidy reduces premium cost of the Health Plan selected by retiree
	Dependent Coverage
Daath	Unlike IBEW pre-1998, there is no extra subsidy (or credit) for spouse coverage
Death Benefits	No Spouse: No continuation beyond COBRA rights, except that \$1,250 x YOS
Denenis	account will be used for any eligible dependants Surviving spouse: Subsidy for Pre-1998 IBEW and MPAT continues for
	surviving spouse. Subsidy for Pre-1996 IBEVV and MPAT continues for surviving spouse for up to 12 months. Thereafter, the coverage converts to
	spouse continuation under COBRA for up to 24 months.
	opoudo dominuation under Oobit/Tiol up to 24 months.

Summary of Plan Provisions – Life Insurance

Eligibility	MPAT and IBEW employees who "retire" under the Nevada PERS retirement system
Death Benefit	 Employee coverage is 1 x annual salary at no cost to the employee. Retiree coverage amount is same as employee coverage on retirement date Retiree coverage reduced by 50% at age 70 Reduced to \$2,000 at age 75

Glossary

Actuarial Accrued Liability. The portion of the present value of prospective benefits allocated to service before the valuation date in accordance with the actuarial cost method.

Actuarial Cost Method. Sometimes called "funding method," a technique used by actuaries to establish the amount and incidence of the annual actuarial cost of pension plan benefits, or normal cost, and the related unfunded actuarial accrued liability. Ordinarily, the annual contribution to the plan comprises the normal cost and an amount for amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

Annual OPEB Cost. An accrual-basis measure of the periodic cost of an employer's participation in a defined benefit OPEB Plan

Annual Required Contribution. The Normal Cost plus amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Net OPEB Obligation. The cumulative difference since the effective date of GASB 45, between annual OPEB cost and the employer's contributions to the plan.

Normal Cost. The annual cost assigned to the current year, under the actuarial cost method in use.

OPEB liabilities. The amount recognized by an employer for contributions to an OPEB plan less then OPEB expense/expenditures.

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability. The excess of the present value of prospective benefits, as of the date of a valuation, over the sum of (1) the value of the assets and (2) the present value of future normal costs determined by any actuarial cost methods. For methods that define an accrued liability, this amount equals the excess of the accrued liability over assets.

§501-c-9 Post- Retirement Medical Plan & Trust

a single employer plan sponsored by Truckee Meadows Water Authority



TO:

Board of Trustees of the Post-Retirement Medical Plan & Trust

FROM:

Michele Sullivan, TMWA CFO and Trust Chairperson

DATE:

February 21, 2017

SUBJECT:

Presentation of the Budget for Calendar Year 2017

Recommendation

The Board of Trustees approves the calendar year 2017 budget.

Suggested Motion

Discussion

The Calendar Year 2017 Budget for the 501-c-9 Post-Retirement Medical Plan and Trust (PRMPT) is provided for the Trustee's review in *Attachment A*. In addition to retiree health and life insurance premiums, the budget reflects contributions/additions from the employer, the retiree's portion of premiums and certain administrative expenses primarily for legal services and the annual audit. No unrealized gain/loss in asset fair value is planned for this year as this is difficult to accurately predict. The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) to be deposited with the Trust from TMWA is expected to be approximately \$445,000 per year for the next two years. TMWA conducts an actuarial analysis every two years to make sure funding levels are adequate.

The PRMPT expects to incur about \$354,700 in gross retiree health care and life premium expenses. The Trust now provides benefits for 25 beneficiaries who are actually receiving benefits and enrolled in plans offered by TMWA. The Trust has 8 beneficiaries who are receiving benefits via reimbursement of premiums via trust credit (not enrolled in plans offered by TMWA). The Trust has one beneficiary who has a remaining benefit available under life time credit and a total of 36 beneficiaries who are currently receiving a life benefit. One additional retiree is expected to enroll in this calendar year.

Total PRMPT assets are expected to be around \$8.5 million throughout the calendar year and are reflective of a very healthy funding level to meet future Plan participant requirements. The RBIF assets allocation is approximately 70% equities and 30% fixed rate securities so volatility in the equity markets will translate to RBIF investment performance.

Truckee Meadows Water Authority Post-Retirement Medical Plan & Trust Budget for Calendar Year 2017

Additions		
Contributions		
Employer	\$	445,100
Plan Members	-	105,000
Total Contributions		550,100
Investment Income		
Net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value of investment		-
Investment income		199,000
Less investment expenses		(2,300)
Net investment income		196,700
Total Additions		746,800
Deductions		
Benefits paid		354,700
Administrative expenses		15,000
Total Deductions		369,700
Net Increase (Decrease)	\$	377,100

Attachment A

Post Retirement Medical Plan & Trust

a single employer plan sponsored by Truckee Meadows Water Authority



TO: Board of Trustees of the TMWA Post Retirement Medical Trust

FROM: Michele Sullivan, TMWA CFO and Trust Chairperson

DATE: February 21, 2017

SUBJECT: Discussion and possible action on Retirement Benefits Investment Fund RBIF

Performance and Status

Recommendation/Suggested Motion

The Board of Trustees accepts the RBIF Performance Report and authorizes the TMWA Chief Financial Officer to continue using the RBIF for investment of funds.

Discussion

Attached are/is the following statement(s):

Asset Detail

Retirement Benefits Investment Fund

December 31, 2016 All Returns Net of Fees

5.7%	9.0%	4.9%	6.5%	3.7%					Market Return
5.6%	8.9%	4.9%	6.5%	3.8%	100.0%	100.0%	\$ 380,003,604 100.0%	S	Total RBIF Fund
					0.8%	0.0%	\$ 3,140,444	\$	
3.2%	1.2%	2.3%	1.0%	-4.1%					Market Return
3.4%	1.9%	2.5%	1.1%	-4.1%	27.0%	30.0%	102,605,320	\$	U.S. Bonds- U.S. Bond Index
0.7%	6.5%	-1.6%	1.0%	5.7%					Market Return
0.9%	6.8%	-1.4%	1.2%	5.6%	19.6%	21.0%	74,451,673	€5	Int'l Stocks- MSCI EAFE Index
7.9%	14.7%	8.9%	12.0%	7.8%					Market Return
8.0%	14.6%	8.8%	11.8%	7.8%	52.6%	49.0%	\$ 199,806,167	\$	U.S. Stocks- S&P 500 Index
Since Inception (2008)	5 Years	3 Years	One Year 3 Years 5 Years	FYTD Return	Actual Allocation	Target Allocation	Market Value	N	Asset Class