



Addendum No. 1
Highland Canal Security Improvements
Truckee River Highlands HOA – Anselmo Dr Area

PWP Bid No.: WA-2019-161

TMWA Capital Project No.: 17-0016

March 19, 2019

The following information, clarifications, changes and modifications are by reference incorporated into the bid documents for the above referenced project. Any work item or contract provision not changed or modified will remain in full force and effect. The bid date and time and construction schedule remain the same.

Questions, Responses, and Modifications

A. Question No. 1:

I have a question regarding the removal of the existing fencing on site. Generally, when we remove existing fence posts, we cut the posts off at the base, pound down any remaining post, then cover with dirt. The new fence posts are then set in slightly different locations and the new fence covers the old fence post footing. Pulling of the old fence post footings adds considerable costs to the project because of the pulling process and also the backfilling of the holes with dirt. Can we cut the existing posts off at the bases rather than pulling the whole concrete sets?

Response to Question No. 1:

No. Removal of existing fence posts shall be as specified in Part 3.1 E. of Technical Specification 32 31 00 Upgrade Industrial Ornamental Fence System.

Question No. 2:

You are requesting Pollution Liability which you don't have... would you consider waiving it? we are not designing anything we are building to specs... Please advise.

Response to Question No. 2:

Contractors Pollution Liability Insurance, as described in Article 5.02 G. 4. of the General Conditions, is NOT required for this project.

Question No. 3:

Is there a soils report available that you can send?

Response to Question No. 3:

No. A soils report is not available for this project. Reference Article 4.02 of the General Conditions.

Question No. 4:

We would like to provide an “or equal” to the Beta Fence. The Beta Fence has extremely long lead times. We have also had customer service issues when dealing with this company. We are looking to submit on an Ameristar product. What would be required to request that the Ameristar product be accepted as an “or equal” product to the Beta Fence?

Response to Question No. 4:

Please submit product information sheets on the Ameristar product you would like us to consider as an “or equal” product.

Information was submitted for the Ameristar Montage Industrial Steel Ornamental Fence System. This product was evaluated and deemed to be NOT equal to the specified Betafence Upgrade Industrial Ornamental Fence System. Please reference Technical Specification addition, included as part of this addendum, which specifies features of the Betafence system for which the submitted Ameristar fence is NOT equal. The coating of the Ameristar fence and panel rails were also deemed NOT equal to the specified Betafence system.

Question No. 5:

I am reading over the Local Preference Affidavit, do we need to be a Nevada Contractor? Looks like we need to agree to all the requirements on this page?

Response to Question No. 5:

“Local Preference” status is for Nevada Contractors only and managed through the Nevada State Contractors Board.

Question No. 6:

You are asking form 2 mil each occur on the GL and 2mil each occurrence on the Auto. We do have the Umbrella that would underly both policies and meet those requirements. Will you be good with that??

Response to Question No. 6:

Yes, that is acceptable.

B. Technical Specification 32 31 00 Upgrade Industrial Ornamental Fence System:

1. ADD the following to Part 2.2 Material 3. Fence Panels:
 - c. Fence Panels shall rack up to 48-inches per eight-foot panel.
 - d. Pickets shall be formed so the picket shape within the rails prevents rattle or removal and allows for racking. Welded connections between the pickets and rails (i.e. welded tabs) are NOT acceptable.

End of Addendum No. 1